

# 1 Vitamin B12, Folate and Cognition in 6 to 9 year-olds: A Randomized Controlled Trial

2  
3 Ingrid Kvestad<sup>a</sup>, Sunita Taneja<sup>b</sup>, Ravi P Upadhyay<sup>b,c</sup>, Mari Hysing<sup>d</sup>, Nita Bhandari<sup>b</sup>, Tor A  
4 Strand<sup>c,e</sup>

## 5 6 7 **Affiliations:**

8 <sup>a</sup> Regional Centre for Child and Youth Mental Health and Child Welfare, West, NORCE  
9 Norwegian Research Centre, Bergen, Norway

10 <sup>b</sup> Centre for Health Research and Development, Society for Applied Studies, New Delhi-  
11 110016, India

12 <sup>c</sup> Centre for International Health, University of Bergen, Bergen, Norway

13 <sup>d</sup> Department of Psychosocial Science, Faculty of Psychology, University of Bergen, Bergen,  
14 Norway

15 <sup>e</sup> Department of Research, Innlandet Hospital Trust, Lillehammer, Norway

16  
17  
18 **Address correspondence to:** Sunita Taneja<sup>b</sup>, email: [sunita.taneja@sas.org.in](mailto:sunita.taneja@sas.org.in), Centre for  
19 Health Research and Development, Society for Applied Studies, New Delhi-110016, India

20  
21 **Short title:** Vitamin B12, Folate and Cognition

22  
23 **Funding Disclosure Statement:** The authors have no financial relationships relevant to this  
24 article to disclose.

25  
26 **Funding Sources:** Thrasher Research Fund (grant no. 02827) and the Research Council of  
27 Norway (grants no. 172226 and 234495) provided financial support for the original and the  
28 follow up study.

29  
30 **Conflict of Interest Statement:** The authors have no conflicts of interest to disclose

31  
32 **Clinical Trial Registration:** First registered at [www.clinicaltrials.gov](http://www.clinicaltrials.gov) as NCT00717730 in  
33 July, 2008, and at [www.ctri.nic.in](http://www.ctri.nic.in) as CTRI/2010/091/001090 in August, 2010. Then as  
34 CTRI/2016/11/007494 in November 2016.

35  
36 **Data Sharing Statement:** Deidentified individual participant data (including data  
37 dictionaries) will be made available, in addition to study protocols, the statistical analysis  
38 plan, and the informed consent form. The data will be made available upon publication to  
39 researchers who provide a methodologically sound proposal for use in achieving the goals of  
40 the approved proposal. Proposals should be submitted to Dr. Sunita Taneja  
41 ([sunita.taneja@sas.org.in](mailto:sunita.taneja@sas.org.in))

42  
43 **Abbreviations:** randomized controlled trials – RCT; total homocysteine – tHcy; Wechsler  
44 Intelligence Scale for Children 4<sup>th</sup> edition (India) - WISC-IV<sup>INDIA</sup>; Crichton Vocabulary  
45 Scales – CVS

46  
47  
48  
49  
50

1 **Table of Contents Summary**

2 Although improving vitamin B12 and folate status in early childhood improves short-term  
3 neurodevelopment, we find no persistent impact on cognition in later childhood.

4

5 **What's known on This Subject**

6 Vitamin B12 and folate are important for brain development and suboptimal status have been  
7 linked to poor neurodevelopment. The evidence from randomized trials points towards a  
8 positive effect of vitamin B12 supplementation in susceptible populations.

9

10 **What This Study Adds**

11 We find no persistent long-term impacts of vitamin B12 or folates in early childhood on  
12 cognitive outcomes in 6 to 9-year-old children. Vitamin B12 and folates are probably of  
13 limited public health relevance for cognitive functioning.

14

15

1 **Contributors` Statement Page**

2 Dr Kvestad and Prof Strand conceptualized and designed the study, carried out the initial  
3 analyses drafted the initial manuscript, and reviewed and revised the manuscript.

4 Prof Hysing conceptualized and designed the study, carried out the initial analyses and  
5 reviewed and revised the manuscript.

6 Dr Upadhyay carried out the initial analyses and reviewed and revised the manuscript.

7 Dr Bhandari and Dr Taneja conceptualized and designed the study, coordinated and  
8 supervised data collection, and critically reviewed the manuscript for important intellectual  
9 content.

10 All authors approved the final manuscript as submitted and agree to be accountable for all  
11 aspects of the work.

12

13 **Word count: 2998**

14

15

## Abstract

**Background** Vitamin B12 and folate are important for normal brain development.

**Objective:** To measure the effects of six months supplementation of vitamin B12 and/or folic acid in early childhood on cognition when the children are 6 to 9 years old.

**Methods:** The study is a follow-up of a factorial randomized double-blind placebo-controlled trial in 1000 North Indian children. Children 6 to 30 months of age were randomized to receive placebo, 1.8 µg of vitamin B12, 150 mg of folic acid, or both daily for six months. After six years, we re-enrolled 791 of these for cognitive assessments. We compared the scores of the main outcomes; the Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children, 4<sup>th</sup> edition (WISC-IV<sup>INDIA</sup>), the Crichton Verbal Scale (CVS) and subtests of the NEPSY-II between the study groups. We also measured the associations between markers of the B-vitamins; plasma cobalamin, folate and total homocysteine (tHcy) concentration in early childhood and the cognitive outcomes.

**Results:** There were no differences between the intervention groups and the placebo on any of the cognitive outcomes. Plasma cobalamin, folate and tHcy concentration in early childhood were associated with the cognitive outcomes at follow-up in the unadjusted models. These associations disappeared in models adjusting for relevant confounders.

**Conclusion:** Our findings, from both an observational and a randomized design suggest that vitamin B12 and folate in children 6 to 36 months have limited public health relevance for long-term cognition.

**Key words:** Vitamin B12: Folic acid: RCT: Long term effects: Cognition: Childhood: India

## Introduction

1  
2 Inadequate vitamin B12 status can impair important processes in the developing brain (1).  
3 Vitamin B12 and folate deficiency is widespread among children in South Asian, African and  
4 South American populations (2), and have been linked to neurodevelopment in observational  
5 studies, although results are inconclusive (1, 3-6). Two randomized controlled trials (RCT) in  
6 infants from clinical populations suggest a positive short-term effect of high dose injections of  
7 vitamin B12 on gross motor abilities (7, 8). One trial reports a positive effect of folic acid  
8 supplementation in combination with iron on gross motor function in early childhood (9). We  
9 have previously shown that young North Indian children who received vitamin B12 and folic  
10 acid supplements for six months had better scores on tests of gross motor abilities and  
11 problem-solving skills than children receiving placebo (10). This effect was most pronounced  
12 in stunted children, in those with elevated total homocysteine (tHcy), and who were less than  
13 24 months of age when receiving the supplements. Studies on the long-term impact of B-  
14 vitamin deficiency or repletion on cognition are scarce. In a cohort of Nepalese children, we  
15 found that vitamin B12 status in infancy predicted cognitive function when the children were  
16 5 years old (11).

17       The original RCT in North Indian children described above was designed to measure  
18 the effect of six months vitamin B12 and/or folic acid supplementation on infections and  
19 growth (12, 13). Neurodevelopment was included as a secondary outcome (10).  
20 Approximately six years after the study was completed, we contacted the children when they  
21 were in early school-age and conducted a comprehensive assessment of cognitive function  
22 (14). The main aim of the current study is to examine the long-term effects of the six months  
23 supplementation of vitamin B12 and/or folic acid in early childhood on cognition at age 6 to 9  
24 years. Secondly, we will examine the associations between early markers of the B-vitamins  
25 (plasma cobalamin, folate and tHcy concentration) and later cognitive function.

## Patients and Methods

### Study design and participants

The children in the follow-up study previously participated in a factorial randomized double-blind placebo-controlled trial (n=1000) on the effect of two recommended daily allowances (RDA) of vitamin B12 and/or folic acid supplementation on childhood infections and growth in New Delhi, India (12). The study enrolled children from January 2010 to September 2011. Neurodevelopment was added during the first phase as a secondary outcome. We were only able to include the last 422 children for these assessments (10).

In September 2016, we attempted to approach all the children in the original trial. Families were initially contacted by phone and invited to participate in the study. If no contact could be made, a physical visit was made to the family's address. Families that had moved were requested to come to the study clinic for a day. On the day of assessment, consent was taken from the children's caregiver and demographic information was ascertained through a questionnaire.

The follow-up was registered at [www.ctri.nic.in](http://www.ctri.nic.in) as CTRI/2016/11/007494 in November 2016, and received approval from the ethics committee of Society for Applied Studies (India) and from Norwegian Regional Committee for Medical and Health Research Ethics (REK VEST) in 2016.

### Randomization, blinding and intervention

In the original trial, children were recruited at age 6 to 30 months from low to middle socioeconomic class families living in New Delhi and randomized in a 1:1:1:1 ratio in blocks of 16 to receive placebo, vitamin B12, folic acid or vitamin B12 and folic acid for six months (12). A scientist not otherwise involved in the study provided the randomization scheme using Stata Version 10 (Stata corporation, College Station, TX). The intervention was a lipid-based

1 nutritional supplement prepared by NUTRISET, Ltd (Malaunay France) provided in jars that  
2 were pre-labelled with the subject identification number. The four different interventions were  
3 identical both in appearance and taste and were offered daily to the children by field workers  
4 according to the serial numbers provided by the producer. Children were supplemented with  
5 one spoon (5 g) if they were 6 to 11 months, and two spoons (10 g) if they were 12 months  
6 and above. Each 10 g of supplement (dose for children aged  $\geq 12$ ) contained 54.1 kcal total  
7 energy, 0.7 g proteins and 3.3 g fat. For the groups that were assigned to receive B-vitamins,  
8 the supplement also contained 1.8  $\mu\text{g}$  vitamin B12 or 150 mg folic acid, or both constituting 2  
9 RDAs (15). Study participants and personnel were blinded to the intervention group  
10 throughout the period of data collection in the original trial.

11

## 12 **Outcomes**

13 The cognitive assessments for the follow-up study were conducted at the field clinic in well-  
14 lit rooms with minimal distractions. Five psychologists blinded to the intervention groups  
15 undertook all assessments after training and standardization in 20 children per tester. Ten  
16 percent of all assessments were scored by two psychologists of whom one performed the  
17 assessments and the other observed and scored. From these double scorings, we attained a  
18 kappa coefficient of above 96% indicating excellent inter-rater agreement.

19 Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children 4<sup>th</sup> edition (WISC-IV<sup>INDIA</sup>) is an assessment tool of  
20 intellectual ability in children validated for the Indian population with Indian norms (16). We  
21 conducted seven subtests that summed up to three index scores; the Perceptual Reasoning,  
22 Processing Speed and Working Memory (table 1). We did not conduct tests included in the  
23 Verbal Comprehension index since these tests require English language skills (16).

1 Crichton Vocabulary Scales (CVS) covers verbal skills in children 4 to 11 years (17). The  
2 CVS is translated to Hindi with Indian norms providing a standardized total score (18) (table  
3 1).

4 NEPSY-II is a neuropsychological test battery for children aged 3 to 16 years with American  
5 norms (19). We administered seven age-appropriate subscales (table 1). These were piloted in  
6 terms of suitability; no modifications and adjustments were made for the study.

7

### 8 **Covariates**

9 In the original trial, trained field supervisors measured weight and length/height at enrolment.  
10 Weight was measured to the nearest 50 g using Digitron scales. Length/height was measured  
11 using locally manufactured infantometers reading to the nearest 0.1 cm.

12 At the follow up, caregivers reported on their socioeconomic status such as parental years of  
13 schooling and assets owned by the household, and on the home environment of the child such  
14 as number of children in the home, if parents read books for the child and assist with  
15 homework and which school the child attends (20). The wealth of the family was determined  
16 by a wealth index created through a principal component analysis based on assets such as  
17 televisions and bicycles; materials used for housing construction; and types of water and  
18 sanitation facilities. The wealth index places the individual household on a continuous scale  
19 of relative wealth, and the participants are divided further into five wealth quintiles; poorest,  
20 very poor, poor, less poor and least poor (21).

21

### 22 **Laboratory analyses**

23 Three mL blood was obtained from all children at enrolment and collected into evacuated  
24 tubes containing EDTA (BD, Franklin Lakes, NJ, USA). Immediately following blood  
25 sampling, plasma was separated by centrifugation at room temperature (450 x g x 10 min),



1 transferred into storage vials and stored at -20 0C until analysis. Plasma tHcy was analysed  
2 using commercial kits (Abbott Park, IL, USA) (22). Plasma concentrations of vitamin B12  
3 and folate were determined by microbiological assays using a chloramphenicol-resistant strain  
4 of *Lactobacillus casei* and colistin sulfate-resistant strain of *Lactobacillus leichmannii*,  
5 respectively (23).

6

### 7 **Statistical considerations**

8 Infant's baseline height-for-age (HAZ), weight-for-height (WHZ) and weight-for-age z-scores  
9 (HAZ, WHZ and WAZ) were calculated based on WHO growth standards (24). Scores on the  
10 cognitive tests were calculated based on available norms (table 1). We calculated a combined  
11 WISC-IV<sup>INDIA</sup> and CVS z-score based on converted z-scores on each subtest, and a combined  
12 NEPSY-II z-score based on converted z-scores in seven subtests (IN-Naming vs. Inhibition  
13 Contrast Scaled Score, Design Fluency Total Scaled Score, Word Generation-Semantic vs.  
14 Initial Letter Contrast Scaled Score, Visuo-motor Precision Combined Scaled Score, Manual  
15 Motor Sequences Total Score - raw score, Affect Recognition Total Scaled Score and  
16 Geometric Puzzles Total Scaled Score).

17 We present mean [standard deviation (SD)] scores for the cognitive tests in the  
18 intervention groups and compared the intervention groups with placebo in linear regression  
19 models, where the intervention groups were included as dummy variables and compared  
20 against the placebo. We also compared the combined WISC-IV<sup>INDIA</sup> and CVS and the  
21 combined NEPSY-II scores of the intervention groups with placebo in predefined subgroups  
22 based on the following baseline characteristics: age <19 months (less than 24 months when  
23 receiving the supplementation), stunting (< -2 z scores height/length-for-age) and high plasma  
24 tHcy (>10 µmol/L). Subgroups were determined by the same criteria as used in the original  
25 study (10). In these regression models we adjusted for the wealth quintile (poorest, very poor,

1 poor, less poor and least poor), maternal years of schooling (no schooling, 1-5 years, 6-12  
2 years and > 12 years), which school the child attends (private, governmental or none), number  
3 of children in the family (1-10) and parents' assistance with homework (yes/no).

4 We also examined the associations between markers for B-vitamin status; log<sub>2</sub>  
5 transformed plasma cobalamin, folate and tHcy concentration at enrolment in the original trial  
6 and the z-scores of the combined WISC-IV<sup>INDIA</sup> and CVS and the combined NEPSY-II in  
7 multiple linear regression models. We present both crude and adjusted models. For the  
8 adjusted models, we first selected the variables that could be related both to the B-vitamin  
9 markers and the cognitive outcomes (supplementary table 1). We then included each variable  
10 one-by-one in the crude models with the B-vitamin markers as the exposure and the cognitive  
11 z-scores as the outcome. We kept the variables that changed the regression coefficients by  
12 more than 15% in the multiple linear regression models (25). We repeated this process for  
13 each of the markers and outcomes. Sex and age at baseline were included in all models  
14 independent of this process. We did not include growth measured after enrollment as these  
15 measures could be in the causal pathway between the exposures and the cognitive outcomes.  
16 In the models, we carefully considered the collinearity of the included variables through the  
17 variance inflation factor (vif command in Stata). Baseline WAZ was not included due to such  
18 collinearity. In addition to the crude model (model 1), we present two adjusted models; one  
19 model without growth variables (model 2) and one with HAZ and WHZ (model 3). The  
20 statistical analyses were performed in Stata, version 15 (Stata Corporation, College Station,  
21 TX).

22

23

## Results

24

25

Figure 1 shows the flow of the participants through the study. Of the 1000 children in the  
main study, we established contact with 798 children of whom 791 children consented to

1 participate. Demographic characteristics in the full baseline sample and the follow-up sample,  
2 and between the four intervention groups are similar (table 2). Mean (SD) age at follow-up  
3 was 7.4 (0.7) years, ranging from 6 to 9 years.

4 Means (SD) of the cognitive outcomes by intervention groups are shown in table 3.  
5 Except for one subscale of the NEPSY-II in the vitamin B12 group, there were no differences  
6 in means of the intervention groups compared to placebo. In the subgroup analyses, there  
7 were no significant differences in any of the subgroups between the intervention groups  
8 compared to placebo, with one exception. Children without elevated baseline tHcy  
9 concentration who received vitamin B12 and folic acid (N=266) had a significant decrease in  
10 the combined NEPSY-II z-score of -0.38 (-0.68, -0.08),  $p=0.013$  compared to placebo.

11 The associations between the vitamin B markers at baseline and the cognitive z-scores  
12 at follow-up are shown in table 4. Baseline plasma cobalamin concentration was associated  
13 with the WISC-IV<sup>INDIA</sup> and CVS z-scores [0.10 (95% CI 0.01, 0.18),  $p=0.021$ ] and the  
14 NEPSY-II z-scores [0.12 (95% CI 0.03, 0.20),  $p=0.007$ ] in crude models, but not in the  
15 adjusted models. Folate concentration was associated with the WISC-IV<sup>INDIA</sup> and CVS z-  
16 score [0.08 (95% CI 0.02, 0.14),  $p=0.014$ ] but not with the combined NEPSY-II z-scores in  
17 the crude models, and not with the cognitive outcomes in the adjusted models. Baseline tHcy  
18 was associated with the combined WISC-IV<sup>INDIA</sup> and CVS z-scores and the combined  
19 NEPSY-II z-scores in the crude models [-0.31 (95% CI -1.42, 0.21) and -0.33 (95% CI -0.44,  
20 0.23) ( $p<0.001$  for both)]. Adjusting for confounders (model 2) resulted in more than a  
21 halving of these estimates and increasing p-values. Still, a twofold increase of tHcy  
22 concentration was associated with a decrease of 0.11 (95% CI 0.01, 0.21),  $p=0.028$  WISC-  
23 IV<sup>INDIA</sup> and CVS z-score and a decrease of 0.12 (95% CI 0.01, 0.22),  $p=0.030$  NEPSY-II z-  
24 score. Adjusting for growth resulted in a further decrease of the coefficients, and the  
25 associations were no longer significant (table 4). The attenuation of the coefficients was

1 mainly caused by the HAZ-score and not the WHZ-score. The  $R^2$ 's in model 3 was 0.36 for  
2 the combined WISC-IV<sup>INDIA</sup> and CVS z-scores and 0.25 for the combined NEPSY-II z-scores  
3 for all markers.

4

5

### Discussion

6 We examined the effects of six months supplementation of vitamin B12 and/or folic acid in  
7 early childhood on cognitive outcomes when the children had reached school-age. There were  
8 no differences in the cognitive outcomes between the intervention groups overall or in the  
9 predefined subgroups. In an observational design, we found that while early plasma  
10 cobalamin, folate and tHcy concentration were associated with later cognitive functioning in  
11 crude models, these associations disappeared in models adjusting for relevant confounders  
12 such as socioeconomic factors, stimulation and learning opportunities and early childhood  
13 growth.

14 This is the first follow-up study to measure the long-term effects of vitamin B12  
15 and/or folic acid supplementation in early childhood on later cognitive function. In spite of  
16 previous findings of a beneficial short-term effect of the B-vitamin supplementation on early  
17 child development (10), we did not find long-term effects on the cognitive outcomes in the  
18 full sample or in the predefined subgroups when the children were 6 to 9 years old. The  
19 change in infant biomarker status following supplementation resulted in an expected  
20 metabolic response (12), and improved growth (13) and neurodevelopment (10) immediately  
21 following the supplementation. The present results suggest, however, that the improved status  
22 in early childhood did not lead to a change in cognition in early school-age when cognitive  
23 measures are considered more stable than in early childhood. The public health relevance of  
24 vitamin B12 and folic acid administration in early childhood to improve long-term cognitive  
25 function is accordingly questionable. It should be noted that there are studies linking maternal

1 cobalamin, folate and tHcy concentration in early pregnancy to offspring neurodevelopment  
2 (4, 26-30). We cannot rule out this effect based on our findings. A different timing of the  
3 intervention, for instance at the time of neurogenesis early in pregnancy, could have yielded a  
4 beneficial effect of the vitamin B supplementation on later cognition (31).

5 In the subgroup analyses, we found that children with normal tHcy concentration and  
6 who received vitamin B12 and folic acid supplementation, had lower NEPSY-II z-scores than  
7 children who received placebo. This is in contrast to the subgroup analyses from the original  
8 study (10), and as one out of many subgroup comparisons quite likely a chance finding.

9 In an observational design, we find that plasma cobalamin, folate and tHcy  
10 concentrations in early childhood are associated with the cognitive outcomes in crude models,  
11 but not in models adjusted for confounders. For tHcy, the associations disappeared following  
12 adjustments for attained growth, socioeconomic status, and factors related to stimulation and  
13 learning opportunities for the child. There are observational studies that have demonstrated a  
14 link between early vitamin B12 status and cognition in later childhood such as in Dutch  
15 adolescents (6) and Nepalese 5-year olds (11). Differences in study design, in age at exposure  
16 and outcome measurements, in limiting nutrients and in sociodemographic factors could  
17 explain the contrasting results. In the Nepalese study, infant tHcy concentration was  
18 associated with cognitive functioning five years later (11). THcy concentration is often  
19 considered a marker for both vitamin B12 and folate status (32). The biomarker is unspecific,  
20 however, and could also be a marker for other factors reflecting poor health and illness  
21 important for brain development (32, 33). In the current study, the  $R^2$ s of 25 and 36 % in the  
22 multiple regression models suggest that factors such as socioeconomic status, stimulation and  
23 learning opportunities and early growth, are important determinants of cognition when the  
24 children are 6 to 9 years old, which is in accordance with findings from when the children  
25 were in early childhood (34).

1           The strengths of the study include the high quality and comprehensive assessment of  
2 cognitive function with validated tests with Indian norms in a large sample of children in  
3 early school-age when cognitive outcomes are considered to be more stable and with greater  
4 predictive value than in early childhood. Compliance to the supplementation was excellent  
5 and reflected in an expected response in plasma cobalamin, folate and tHcy from the  
6 supplementation (12). Furthermore, we were able to include 80% of the children from the  
7 original cohort after more than 5 years, with no differences between the children who were  
8 included in the follow-up and not. Limitation includes that the timing and length of the  
9 supplementation may not have been ideal to detect long-term differences in cognition.  
10 Although we have measures of excellent inter-rater agreement between the examiners,  
11 variability in the testing may occur within the administrators due to subjectivity in the  
12 administration i.e. depending on the child being assessed. The fact that several of the  
13 participants were not deficient, reduces the statistical power. Finally, this is a secondary  
14 outcome of a study intended to measure the effect of infections in early childhood. We believe  
15 however, that since neurodevelopment is measured on a continuous scale the sample size  
16 needed to detect differences in cognition is less than for infections.

17

18

### **Conclusion**

19 We find no persistent long-term effects of early vitamin B12 and/or folic acid  
20 supplementation on cognition. Associations between vitamin B12 and folate status in early  
21 childhood and cognition in school-age are no longer significant after adjusting for relevant  
22 confounder. In view of our findings, vitamin B12 and folate are probably of limited public  
23 health relevance for the developing brain and long-term cognitive functioning.

24

1 **Acknowledgement:** We thank the group of study psychologists and the coordinator who  
2 performed the cognitive assessments with the children and their caregivers; Farah Abbasi,  
3 Heena Chaudhary, Raqib Ali, Sugandhi Nagpal, Vaishali Panwar and Shruti Bisht. We also  
4 thank Kiran Bhatia for support on the data management. We thank Mari Manger, Chittaranjan  
5 Yajnik and Helga Refsum for their contribution to the original trial.

6

## References

1. Venkatramanan S, Armata IE, Strupp BJ, Finkelstein JL. Vitamin B-12 and Cognition in Children. *Adv Nutr.* 2016;7(5):879-88.
2. Obeid R, Murphy M, Sole-Navais P, Yajnik C. Cobalamin Status from Pregnancy to Early Childhood: Lessons from Global Experience. *Adv Nutr.* 2017;8(6):971-9.
3. Strand TA, Taneja S, Ueland PM, Refsum H, Bahl R, Schneede J, et al. Cobalamin and folate status predicts mental development scores in North Indian children 12-18 mo of age. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition.* 2013;97(2):310-7.
4. Chmielewska A, Dziechciarz P, Gieruszczak-Bialek D, Horvath A, Piescik-Lech M, Ruszczynski M, et al. Effects of prenatal and/or postnatal supplementation with iron, PUFA or folic acid on neurodevelopment: update. *Br J Nutr.* 2016:1-6.
5. Finkelstein JL, Kurpad AV, Thomas T, Srinivasan K, Duggan C. Vitamin B12 status in pregnant women and their infants in South India. *Eur J Clin Nutr.* 2017;71(9):1046-53.
6. Louwman MWJ, van Dusseldorp M, van de Vijver FJR, Thomas CMG, Schneede J, Ueland PM, et al. Signs of impaired cognitive function in adolescents with marginal cobalamin status. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition.* 2000;72:762-9.
7. Torsvik I, Ueland PM, Markestad T, Bjorke-Monsen AL. Cobalamin supplementation improves motor development and regurgitations in infants: results from a randomized intervention study. *Am J Clin Nutr.* 2013;98(5):1233-40.
8. Torsvik IK, Ueland PM, Markestad T, Midttun O, Bjorke Monsen AL. Motor development related to duration of exclusive breastfeeding, B vitamin status and B12 supplementation in infants with a birth weight between 2000-3000 g, results from a randomized intervention trial. *BMC Pediatr.* 2015;15:218.
9. Olney DK, Pollitt E, Kariger PK, Khalfan SS, Ali NS, Tielsch JM, et al. Combined iron and folic acid supplementation with or without zinc reduces time to walking unassisted among Zanzibari infants 5- to 11-mo old. *The Journal of nutrition.* 2006;136(9):2427-34.
10. Kvestad I, Taneja S, Kumar T, Hysing M, Refsum H, Yajnik CS, et al. Vitamin B12 and Folic Acid Improve Gross Motor and Problem-Solving Skills in Young North Indian Children: A Randomized Placebo-Controlled Trial. *PLoS One.* 2015;10(6):e0129915.
11. Kvestad I, Hysing M, Shrestha M, Ulak M, Thorne-Lyman AL, Henjum S, et al. Vitamin B-12 status in infancy is positively associated with development and cognitive functioning 5 y later in Nepalese children. *The American journal of clinical nutrition.* 2017.
12. Taneja S, Strand TA, Kumar T, Mahesh M, Mohan S, Manger MS, et al. Folic acid and vitamin B-12 supplementation and common infections in 6-30-mo-old children in India: a randomized placebo-controlled trial. *Am J Clin Nutr.* 2013;98(3):731-7.
13. Strand TA, Taneja S, Kumar T, Manger MS, Refsum H, Yajnik CS, et al. Vitamin B-12, folic Acid, and growth in 6- to 30-month-old children: a randomized controlled trial. *Pediatrics.* 2015;135(4):e918-26.
14. Winje BA, Kvestad I, Krishnamachari S, Manji K, Taneja S, Bellinger DC, et al. Does early vitamin B12 supplementation improve neurodevelopment and cognitive function in childhood and into school age: a study protocol for extended follow-ups from randomised controlled trials in India and Tanzania. *BMJ Open.* 2018;8(2):e018962.
15. ICMR. Nutrient requirements and recommended dietary allowances for Indians. A report of the expert group of the Indian Council of Medical Research (2009); National Institute of Nutrition. Available at: <http://icmr.nic.in/final/rda-2010.pdf> 2009 [



16. Wechsler D. WISC-IV India. Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children - fourth (India edition). New Delhi: Pearson; 2016.
17. Raven J, Rust J, Squire A. Manual for Coloured Progressive Matrices and Crichton Vocabulary Scale. UK: NCS Pearson Inc; 2008.
18. Raven J, Rust J, Squire A. RAVEN'S Coloured Progressive Matrices and Crichton Vocabulary Scale 2015.
19. Brooks BL, Sherman EMS, Strauss E. NEPSY-II: A Developmental Neuropsychological Assessment, Second Edition. *Child Neuropsychology*. 2009;16(1):80-101.
20. Bradley RH, Corwyn RF. Caring for children around the world: A view from HOME. *Int J Behav Dev*. 2005;29(6):468-78.
21. Chowdhury R, Taneja S, Mazumder S, Bhandari N, Strand TA. Gender differences in infant survival: a secondary data analysis in rural North India. *BMJ Open*. 2017;7(8):e014179.
22. Shipchandler MT, Moore EG. Rapid, Fully Automated Measurement of Plasma Homocyst(E)lne with the Abbott Imx(R) Analyzer. *Clin Chem*. 1995;41(7):991-4.
23. Kelleher BP, Walshe KG, Scott JM, O'Broin SD. Microbiological assay for vitamin B12 with use of a colistin-sulfate-resistant organism. *Clin Chem*. 1987;33(1):52-4.
24. Onis M. WHO Child Growth Standards based on length/height, weight and age. *Acta Paediatrica*. 2006;95(S450):76-85.
25. Bursac Z, Gauss CH, Williams DK, Hosmer DW. Purposeful selection of variables in logistic regression. *Source Code Biol Med*. 2008;3:17.
26. Bhate V, Deshpande S, Bhat D, Joshi N, Ladkat R, Wave S, et al. Vitamin B(12) status of pregnant Indian women and cognitive function in their 9-year-old children. *Food and Nutrition Bulletin*. 2008;29:249-54.
27. Finkelstein JL, Layden AJ, Stover PJ. Vitamin B-12 and Perinatal Health. *Adv Nutr*. 2015;6(5):552-63.
28. Bhate VK, Joshi SM, Ladkat RS, Deshmukh US, Lubree HG, Katre PA, et al. Vitamin B12 and folate during pregnancy and offspring motor, mental and social development at 2 years of age. *Journal of Developmental Origins of Health and Disease*. 2012;3(2):123-30.
29. Veena SR, Krishnaveni GV, Srinivasan K, Wills AK, Muthayya S, Kurpad AV, et al. Higher maternal plasma folate but not vitamin B-12 concentrations during pregnancy are associated with better cognitive function scores in 9- to 10- year-old children in South India. *J Nutr*. 2010;140(5):1014-22.
30. Murphy MM, Fernandez-Ballart JD, Molloy AM, Canals J. Moderately elevated maternal homocysteine at preconception is inversely associated with cognitive performance in children 4 months and 6 years after birth. *Matern Child Nutr*. 2017;13(2).
31. Cusick SE, Georgieff MK. Nutrient supplementation and neurodevelopment: timing is the key. *Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med*. 2012;166(5):481-2.
32. Refsum H, Smith AD, Ueland PM, Nexø E, Clarke R, McPartlin J, et al. Facts and recommendations about total homocysteine determinations: an expert opinion. *Clin Chem*. 2004;50(1):3-32.
33. Refsum H, Grindflek AW, Ueland PM, Fredriksen A, Meyer K, Ulvik A, et al. Screening for serum total homocysteine in newborn children. *Clin Chem*. 2004;50(10):1769-84.
34. Kvestad I, Taneja S, Hysing M, Kumar T, Bhandari N, Strand TA. Diarrhea, stimulation and growth predict neurodevelopment in young north Indian children. *PLoS One*. 2015;10(3):e0121743.

**Table 1. Overview of measures in the follow up study of 791 North Indian children 6 to 9 years**

| <b>Assessment tool</b>          | <b>Domain</b>               | <b>Subscales</b>           | <b>Subtests</b>  | <b>Scores</b>   | <b>Mean</b> | <b>Range</b> | <b>Norms</b> |
|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|--|-----------------|-------------|--------------|--------------|
| <b>WISC IV</b> <sup>INDIA</sup> | <i>Perceptual reasoning</i> | Perceptual Reasoning Index | Block design, Picture concept and Matrix reasoning   | composite score | 100         |              | Indian       |
|                                 | <i>Processing speed</i>     | Processing Speed Index     | Symbol search and Letter-number sequences  | composite score | 100         |              |              |
|                                 | <i>Working memory</i>       | Working Memory Index       | Digit span and Coding  | composite score | 100         |              |              |
| <b>Crichton Verbal Scale</b>    | <i>Verbal</i>               |                            |  | standard score  | 50          |              | Indian       |
|                                 | <i>Executive function</i>   | Inhibition                 | IN-Naming vs. Inhibition Contrast Scaled Score<br>IN-inhibition vs. Switching Contrast Scaled Score<br>Inhibition Total Errors Scaled Scores | scaled score    | 10          | 1-19         | American     |
| <b>NEPSY-II</b>                 | <i>Language</i>             | Design Fluency             | Design Fluency Total Scaled Score  | scaled score    | 10          | 1-19         |              |
|                                 |                             | Word Generation            | Word Generation-Semantic vs. Initial Letter Contrast Scaled Score  | scaled score    | 10          | 1-19         |              |
|                                 | <i>Sensorimotor</i>         | Visuomotor Precision       | Visuo-motor Precision Combined Scaled Score  | scaled score    | 10          | 1-19         |              |
|                                 |                             | Manual Motor Sequences     | Manual Motor Sequences Total Score - raw score   | raw score       |             |              |              |
|                                 | <i>Social perception</i>    | Affect Recognition         | Affect Recognition Total Scaled Score  | scaled score    | 10          | 1-19         |              |
|                                 | <i>Visuospatial</i>         | Geometric Puzzles          | Geometric Puzzles Total Scaled Score   | scaled score    | 10          | 1-19         |              |

**Table 2. Demographic information of the children in the original study (N=1000) when they were 6 to 30 months and in the follow up study (N=791) when they were 6 to 9 years old.**

|   | Original     | Follow-up    |             |             |             |                  |
|---|--------------|--------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|------------------|
|   | Total sample | Total sample | Placebo     | B12         | Folic Acid  | B12 & Folic acid |
|   | N=1000       | N=791        | n=202       | n=201       | n=204       | n=184            |
| <b>Child characteristics at baseline (6 to 30 months)</b> |              |              |             |             |             |                  |
| Age baseline mean (SD)                                    | 16.1 (7.1)   | 16.2 (7.0)   | 16.3 (7.0)  | 15.9 (6.9)  | 16.4 (7.2)  | 16 (7.0)         |
| Boys, n (%)   | 507 (50.7%)  | 399 (50.4%)  | 108 (53.5%) | 88 (43.8%)  | 101 (49.5%) | 102 (55.4%)      |
| Still breastfed, n (%)                                    | 798 (80.2%)  | 632 (80.1%)  | 159 (78.7%) | 164 (82%)   | 160 (78.8%) | 149 (81%)        |
| Growth Z scores   |              |              |             |             |             |                  |
| Weight-for-height (WHZ)                                   | -0.9 (0.9)   | -0.9 (0.9)   | -0.9 (0.9)  | -0.9 (1.0)  | -0.8 (1.0)  | -0.9 (0.9)       |
| Height-for-age (HAZ)                                      | -1.8 (1.2)   | -1.8 (1.7)   | -1.8 (1.8)  | -1.8 (1.7)  | -1.8 (1.2)  | -1.7 (1.1)       |
| Weight-for-age (WAZ)                                      | -1.6 (1.1)   | -1.6 (1.1)   | -1.7 (1.0)  | -1.6 (1.1)  | -1.5 (1.1)  | -1.6 (1.0)       |
| Biomarkers <sup>a</sup>                                   |              |              |             |             |             |                  |
| Cobalamin < 200 pmol/L, n (%) <sup>b</sup>                | 328 (32.8%)  | 256 (32.4%)  | 64 (31.7%)  | 69 (34.3%)  | 74 (36.5%)  | 49 (26.6%)       |
| Folate < 7.5 nmol/L, n (%) <sup>c</sup>                   | 303 (30.3%)  | 256 (32.4%)  | 57 (28.2%)  | 64 (31.8%)  | 62 (29.9%)  | 73 (39.6%)       |
| tHcy > 10 µmol/L, n (%) <sup>d</sup>                      | 628 (62.8%)  | 498 (63.4%)  | 133 (66.2%) | 120 (59.7%) | 129 (64.2%) | 116 (63.7%)      |
| <b>Child characteristics at follow up (6 to 9 years)</b>  |              |              |             |             |             |                  |
| Age at follow up (yrs) mean (SD)                          | na           | 7.4 (0.7)    | 7.3 (0.7)   | 7.4 (0.7)   | 7.4 (0.7)   | 7.4 (0.7)        |
| Schooling   |              |              |             |             |             |                  |
| Governmental, n (%)                                       | na           | 475 (60%)    | 107 (53%)   | 116 (57.7%) | 137 (67.2%) | 115 (62.5%)      |
| Private, n (%)  | na           | 302 (38.2%)  | 91 (45%)    | 81 (40.3%)  | 65 (31.9%)  | 65 (35.3%)       |
| No school, n (%)  | na           | 14 (1.8%)    | 4 (2%)      | 4 (2%)      | 2 (0.9%)    | 4 (2.2%)         |
| <b>Family characteristics at follow up</b>                |              |              |             |             |             |                  |
| Wealth quintile   |              |              |             |             |             |                  |
| Poorest, n (%)  | na           | 159 (20.2%)  | 42 (20.8%)  | 42 (20.9%)  | 39 (19.1%)  | 36 (19.6%)       |

|   |    |             |             |             |             |             |
|---|----|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Very poor, n (%)  | na | 157 (19.8%) | 43 (21.3%)  | 50 (24.9%)  | 34 (16.7%)  | 30 (16.3%)  |
| Poor, n (%)   | na | 158 (20%)   | 37 (18.3%)  | 42 (20.8%)  | 35 (17.2%)  | 44 (23.9%)  |
| Less poor, n (%)  | na | 158 (20%)   | 44 (21.8%)  | 30 (14.9%)  | 50 (24.5%)  | 34 (18.5%)  |
| Least poor, n (%)   | na | 158 (20%)   | 36 (17.8%)  | 36 (17.9%)  | 46 (22.5%)  | 40 (21.7%)  |
| Parents regularly assist and follow up with the child's studies | na | 680 (87.1%) | 175 (88.4%) | 162 (81.8%) | 179 (88.6%) | 164 (89.6%) |
| Mothers years of schooling                                      |    |             |             |             |             |             |
| No schooling, n (%)   | na | 214 (28.3%) | 59 (30.7%)  | 59 (31.4%)  | 52 (26.7%)  | 44 (24.3%)  |
| Primary (1- 5 years), n (%)                                     | na | 101 (13.3%) | 24 (12.5%)  | 24 (12.8%)  | 27 (13.8%)  | 26 (14.4%)  |
| Middle (6-12 years), n (%)                                      | na | 346 (45.8%) | 90 (46.9%)  | 83 (44.1%)  | 85 (43.6%)  | 88 (48.6%)  |
| Higher (> 12 years), n (%)                                      | na | 95 (12.6%)  | 19 (9.9%)   | 22 (11.7%)  | 31 (15.9%)  | 23 (12.7%)  |
| Fathers occupation  |    |             |             |             |             |             |
| Government job or private services, n (%)                       | na | 429 (55.1%) | 110 (55.0%) | 105 (54.1%) | 113 (56.2%) | 101 (54.9%) |
| Self-employed, n (%)  | na | 204 (26.2%) | 46 (23.0%)  | 54 (27.8%)  | 52 (25.9%)  | 52 (28.3%)  |
| Daily wagger/farming, n (%)                                     | na | 120 (15.4%) | 39 (19.5%)  | 25 (12.9%)  | 28 (13.9%)  | 28 (15.2%)  |
| No job/other, n (%)   | na | 26 (3.3%)   | 5 (0.5%)    | 10 (5.2%)   | 8 (4%)      | 3 (1.6%)    |

<sup>a</sup> plasma, non-fasting

<sup>b</sup> data available in 999 children at baseline, and in 790 children at follow-up

<sup>c</sup> data available in 999 children at baseline and in 791 at follow up

<sup>d</sup> data available in 994 children at baseline and in 785 children at follow up

**Table 3. Mean (SD) cognitive scores by study group at follow up in 791 North Indian children 6 to 9 years <sup>a</sup>**

|   | Placebo<br>N=203 |       | B12<br>N=200      |       | Folic acid<br>N=204 |       | B12&Folic acid<br>N=184 |       |
|---|------------------|-------|-------------------|-------|---------------------|-------|-------------------------|-------|
|   | Mean             | SD    | Mean              | SD    | Mean                | SD    | Mean                    | SD    |
| <b>Combined WISC-IV<sup>INDIA</sup> and CVS z-score</b>           | -0.02            | 1.01  | -0.12             | 1.07  | 0.08                | 0.98  | 0.06                    | 0.93  |
| <b>WISC-IV<sup>INDIA</sup> subscales</b>                          |                  |       |                   |       |                     |       |                         |       |
| Perceptual Reasoning Index <sup>b</sup>                           | 95.83            | 16.22 | 94.77             | 17.03 | 97.60               | 16.51 | 97.18                   | 16.26 |
| Processing Speed Index  | 98.10            | 15.18 | 97.12             | 15.94 | 98.29               | 14.36 | 98.28                   | 15.06 |
| Working Memory Index  | 93.02            | 19.29 | 92.48             | 21.24 | 95.78               | 18.93 | 95.4                    | 19.1  |
| <b>Crichton Verbal Scale<sup>c</sup></b>                          | 100.20           | 13.76 | 98.26             | 12.78 | 100.84              | 12.49 | 100.13                  | 11.56 |
| <b>Combined NEPSY-II z-score</b>                                  | -0.03            | 1.00  | -0.05             | 1.03  | 0.05                | 1.01  | 0.04                    | 0.96  |
| <b>NEPSY-II subtests<sup>d</sup></b>                              |                  |       |                   |       |                     |       |                         |       |
| <i>Executive function</i>   |                  |       |                   |       |                     |       |                         |       |
| IN-Naming vs. Inhibition Contrast Scaled Score                    | 8.11             | 3.32  | 8.29              | 3.28  | 8.29                | 3.31  | 8.49                    | 3.20  |
| IN-inhibition vs. Switching Contrast Scaled Score                 | 8.73             | 2.47  | 9.31              | 2.86  | 9.06                | 2.86  | 8.72                    | 2.87  |
| Inhibition Total Errors Scaled Scores                             | 8.53             | 3.21  | 9.40 <sup>e</sup> | 3.71  | 8.97                | 3.47  | 8.84                    | 3.81  |
| Design Fluency Total Scaled Score                                 | 7.97             | 2.76  | 7.84              | 2.93  | 8.33                | 2.68  | 8.33                    | 2.57  |
| <i>Language</i>   |                  |       |                   |       |                     |       |                         |       |
| Word Generation-Semantic vs. Initial Letter Contrast Scaled Score | 7.62             | 2.62  | 7.54              | 2.62  | 7.60                | 2.59  | 7.97                    | 3.07  |
| <i>Sensorimotor</i>   |                  |       |                   |       |                     |       |                         |       |
| Visuo-motor Precision Combined Scaled Score                       | 9.30             | 2.80  | 9.11              | 2.71  | 9.44                | 2.97  | 9.24                    | 2.73  |
| Manual Motor Sequences Total Score - raw score                    | 38.54            | 10.22 | 38.93             | 10.06 | 39.04               | 10.32 | 39.01                   | 9.14  |
| <i>Social perception</i>  |                  |       |                   |       |                     |       |                         |       |
| Affect Recognition Total Scaled Score                             | 9.98             | 2.38  | 10.01             | 2.44  | 10.18               | 2.21  | 10.38                   | 2.25  |
| <i>Visuospatial</i>   |                  |       |                   |       |                     |       |                         |       |
| Geometric Puzzles Total Scaled Score                              | 10.35            | 3.40  | 9.99              | 3.33  | 10.25               | 3.55  | 10.14                   | 2.89  |

<sup>a</sup> intervention groups are compared with placebo in linear regression models, coding the intervention groups with dummy variables

<sup>b</sup> WISC-IV index scores have a mean of 100, data is available in 774 children

<sup>c</sup>CVS total standard score has a mean of 50, data is available in 781 children

<sup>d</sup>NEPSY-II scaled scores have a mean of 10, range from 1-19, data is available in 782 children

<sup>e</sup> $p=0.038$ , for all other comparisons  $p>0.01$

**Table 4. Associations between plasma cobalamin, folate and total homocysteine (tHcy) concentration in early childhood and cognitive scores in North Indian children 6 to 9 years.**

|                                       |                      | Combined WISC-IV <sup>INDIA</sup> and CVS z-score |                    |        |       | Combined NEPSY-II z-score |     |       |        |       |        |
|---------------------------------------|----------------------|---|--------------------|--------|-------|---------------------------|-----|-------|--------|-------|--------|
|                                       |                      | N   | Coef. <sup>e</sup> | 95% CI |       | P                         | N   | Coef. | 95% CI |       | P      |
| <b>Vitamin B12<sup>a</sup></b>        | Model 1 <sup>b</sup> | 769   | 0.10               | 0.01   | 0.18  | 0.021                     | 768 | 0.12  | 0.03   | 0.20  | 0.007  |
|                                       | Model 2 <sup>c</sup> | 738   | 0.00               | -0.07  | 0.07  | 0.994                     | 732 | 0.01  | -0.06  | 0.09  | 0.727  |
|                                       | Model 3 <sup>d</sup> | 738   | -0.02              | -0.10  | 0.05  | 0.521                     | 732 | 0.01  | -0.09  | 0.07  | 0.874  |
| <b>Folate<sup>a</sup></b>             | Model 1              | 768   | 0.08               | 0.02   | 0.14  | 0.014                     | 767 | -0.01 | -0.07  | 0.06  | 0.878  |
|                                       | Model 2              | 737   | 0.03               | -0.03  | 0.09  | 0.314                     | 731 | 0.02  | -0.04  | 0.08  | 0.555  |
|                                       | Model 3              | 737   | 0.02               | -0.04  | 0.08  | 0.443                     | 731 | 0.01  | -0.05  | 0.08  | 0.700  |
| <b>Total Homocysteine<sup>a</sup></b> | Model 1              | 765   | -0.31              | -0.42  | -0.20 | <0.001                    | 764 | -0.33 | -0.44  | -0.23 | <0.001 |
|                                       | Model 2              | 734   | -0.11              | -0.21  | -0.01 | 0.028                     | 728 | -0.12 | -0.22  | -0.01 | 0.030  |
|                                       | Model 3 <sup>f</sup> | 734   | -0.07              | -0.17  | 0.03  | 0.146                     | 728 | -0.08 | -0.19  | 0.02  | 0.126  |

<sup>a</sup>log<sub>2</sub> transformed.

<sup>b</sup>Model 1; unadjusted

<sup>c</sup>Model 2; Combined WISC-IV<sup>INDIA</sup> and CVS z-score: adjusted for sex, baseline age, maternal education at follow up, wealth quintile at follow up, family regularly buy a newspaper, number of children in the home, child reads storybook and which school (private, governmental or none). Combined NEPSY-II z-score: adjusted for sex, baseline age, maternal education at follow up, wealth quintile at follow up, family regularly buy a newspaper, number of children in the home, which school (private, governmental or none) and parents assist with homework

<sup>d</sup>Model 3; the variables in model 2 and baseline HAZ and WHZ for both outcomes

<sup>e</sup>Unstandardized regression coefficients, multiple linear regression model

<sup>f</sup>R<sup>2</sup> for model 3: Combined WISC-IV<sup>INDIA</sup> and CVS z-score: 0.36 for all markers, and Combined NEPSY-II z-score: 0.25 for all markers

**Figure 1. Trial profile of 1000 North Indian young children**